(b) and (c) The following sections are working in TA/ALD office:—

1. Administration, Efficiency Section;
2. Obsolete and Ticket Collection Section;
3. Receipt and Despatch, General Section;
4. Passenger Classifications;
5. Local Refund on Previous date and non-issued;
6. Excess Fare Ticket;
7. Ticket Collection;
8. Refund;
9. Books and Balance Sheet;
10. Accounts office Balance Sheet;
11. Bill Compilation Cell; and
12. Luggage and Parcel.

(d) No, Sir.

(e) The Travelling Inspector of Accounts cadre is a centralised cadre and it was felt necessary to retain the Station Inspection (SI) Section in Head Quarter Office (*i.e.* TA/New Delhi) for all B.G. Stations.

Approach Road for Anand Vihar Railway Station

5145. SHRI SURYABHAN PATIL VAHADANE: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to refer to answer to Starred Question 185, given in the Rajya Sabha on the 11th December, 1998 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is no approach road of U.P. Government to Anand Vihar Railway Station;

(b) whether Railway Ministry is responsible for providing approach roads to Railway Stations;

(c) if so, the reasons for fixing the responsibility on U.P. Government;

(d) whether Government are building a Kuchcha road on railway land to connect Anand Vihar ISBT to Railway Station; and

(e) if so, the details thereof, alongwith the reasons for not providing road from another side, which is well populated and much used?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH): (a) Anand Vihar is located within Delhi State. An approach road exists to the station.

(b) Railways are responsible for providing the approach road to station withing the Railway land boundary. The portion of approach road falling outside the Railway boundary is provided by the Local Body.

(c) U.P. Goverment was mentioned, inadvertently, in reply to the earlier question. The approach road lies in Delhi.

(d) No, Sir.

(e) Does not arise.

Pushing of a Boy out of Running Train

5146. SHRI AMAR SINGH: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that a teenaged boy, who boarded the train from Samalakha or nearby station on Panipat-Delhi section, was reportedly pushed out from running train in the 1st week of April, 2000 by the two R.P.F. jawans, with the result he was serverally injured;

(b) if so, what are the details of the incident; and

(c) whether it is a fact that R.P.F. office at Sonepat did not even register the case and if so, what action Government have taken against the said official and whether any compensation has been given to the boy whose both legs had to imputed as a result of the said injury and if not, the reason therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH): (a) and (b) Yes Sir. But the teenaged boy was not pushed by two RPF jawans.

On 1.4.2000, the teenage boy Karan Sharma while he was changing from ladies compartment to other compartment, slipped from the footboard at Raju Garhi Station and both his legs were amputated by the train. The badly injured boy was taken to Civil Hospital Panipat by Railway Police and from there shifted to Shusrut Trauma Centre, New Delhi.

(c) RPF at Sonepat has not registered any case in this matter since RPF had no power to register cases in such type of incidents. Govt. Railway Police